

Thermochimica Acta 371 (2001) 13-17

thermochimica acta

www.elsevier.com/locate/tca

Thermochemical studies on langbeinite-type double sulphate salts, $K_2M_2(SO_4)_3$

Ya-ping Zhou^{a,*}, Fang Xu^a, Rui Zhang^b, Hong-wen Wan^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Huanggang Normal University, Hubei 438000, PR China ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Hubei 430079, PR China

Received 15 August 2000; received in revised form 29 November 2000; accepted 29 November 2000

Abstract

The standard molar enthalpies of dissolution of mixtures $K_2SO_4:2MSO_4$ and double salts $K_2M_2(SO_4)_3$ (where $M = Cd^{2+}$, Zn^{2+} or Mg^{2+}) in aqueous 3 M HNO₃ have been measured by using an isoperibol reaction calorimeter at 298.2 K. From the values obtained together with auxiliary data and by using appropriate thermochemical cycles, the standard molar enthalpies of formation of $K_2M_2(SO_4)_3$ at 298.2 K were hence derived.

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{f} & \mathcal{H}^{\theta}_{m}[K_{2}Cd_{2}(SO_{4})_{3(s)}] = -3305.52 \pm 0.17 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1} \\ \Delta_{f} & \mathcal{H}^{\theta}_{m}[K_{2}Zn_{2}(SO_{4})_{3(s)}] = -3406.85 \pm 0.23 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1} \\ \Delta_{f} & \mathcal{H}^{\theta}_{m}(K_{2}Mg_{2}(SO_{4})_{3(s)}] = -3953.07 \pm 0.25 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1} \end{split}$$

© 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Double sulphate salts; Solution calorimetry; Standard molar enthalpy of formation

1. Introduction

The double sulphate salts with langbeinite-type structure, of chemical formula $(M^+)_2(M^{2+})_2(SO_4)_3$, are interesting mainly because their ferroelectric activity, an extrinsically induced ferroelectric activity and a strong first-order phase transition. There are a large number of this kind of compounds with $M^+ = NH_4$, K, Rb, Tl, Cs and $M^{2+} = Mn$, Ca, Mg, Fe, Co, Ni, Zn, Cd [1–5]. They have the space group

* Corresponding author.

symmetry $T^4 - P2_13$ [6]. Gattow and Zemann [7] mention the possibility of the synthesis of 26 double sulphates. A ferroelectric phase transition in this kind of compounds was first found in $(NH_4)_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$, in 1956 [8]. This langbeinite also displays triboluminescence, an optical property discovered for this compound in 1980 [9].

The aim of the present article is to measure the dissolution enthalpies of $[K_2SO_{4(s)} + 2MSO_{4(s)}]$ and $[K_2M_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}]$ in aqueous 3 M HNO₃ at 298.2 K. The values obtained together with auxiliary data and by using appropriate thermochemical cycles, the standard molar formation enthalpies of $K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$, $K_2Zn_2(SO_4)_3$ and $K_2Mg_2(SO_4)_3$ were determined.

E-mail address: chem_zhou@sina.com (Y.-p. Zhou).

^{0040-6031/01/\$ –} see front matter 0 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: \$0040-6031(00)00772-3

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals

 K_2SO_4 (AR, Chengdu Reagent Factory) and $MgSO_4$ (AR, Chengdu Reagent Factory) were used without further purification. $CdSO_4$ and $ZnSO_4$ were prepared in our laboratory from the dehydration of $3CdSO_4 \cdot 8H_2O$ (AR, Shanghai Reagent Factory) and $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (AR, Shanghai Reagent Factory) during 4 h at 873 and 793 K, respectively.

KCl (SRM, Shanghai Reagent Factory) and THAM (NBS 742_a , USA) were dried before using as calorimetric primary standards in a vacuum oven during 6 h at 408 K.

2.2. Preparations

 $K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$, $K_2Zn_2(SO_4)_3$ and $K_2Mg_2(SO_4)_3$ are polycrystalline samples. They are not soluble in water [7]. After finely grinding the mixture M₂SO₄:MSO₄ of a molar relation of 1:2 was put into a crucible and heating in an electric furnace at 1023 K for 1 h. The furnace was gradually cooled to room temperature during 15 h. The polycrystals of $K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$ and $K_2Zn_2(SO_4)_3$ were obtained in the form of semitransparent, milky colored boules. However, K₂Mg₂ $(SO_4)_3$ was obtained in the form of white powderlike crystalline. The polycrystalline samples were annealed at 673 K for 10 h according to the method of Hikita et al. [6]. All the compounds obtained were characterized by both X-ray powder diffraction and chemical analysis of metallic and sulphate ions contents.

2.3. Calorimeter and calibration

The enthalpies of dissolution of the compounds were measured in an isoperibol calorimeter as described previously as well as it was also the calorimetric procedure [10,11]. The solution enthalpy of KCl in water and that of THAM in 0.1 mol dm⁻³ HCl at 298.2 K were determined to check the precision of the calorimetric system. The measured dissolution enthalpy of KCl_(s) was $17597 \pm 17 \text{ J} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ and the enthalpy of reaction of THAM was $-29776 \pm 16 \text{ J} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, which agree with the corresponding published data [12,13].

3. Results and discussion

Since $K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$, $K_2Zn_2(SO_4)_3$ and $K_2Mg_2(SO_4)_3$ hardly dissolve in water, all the double salts, as well as, the simple sulphates (or the other salts) were at first finely grounded in an agate mortar. After this, they were dissolved in 100 ml of the calorimetric solvent.

3.1. Determination of the molar dissolution enthalpies $(\Delta_{diss} H_m^{\theta})$

The mixture of 0.3–0.4 g of $K_2SO_{4(s)}$ and $MSO_{4(s)}(M = Cd^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Mg^{2+})$ in a molar relation of 1:2 were dissolved in 100 ml aqueous 3 M HNO₃ at 298.2 K. The values obtained are shown in Table 1.

The molar dissolution enthalpies of $K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$, $K_2Zn_2(SO_4)_3$ or $K_2Mg_2(SO_4)_3$ in the same calorimetric solvent were measured under the same conditions. The results are also present in Table 1.

3.2. Determination of the molar reaction enthalpies $(\Delta_r H_m^{\theta})$

According to Hess's law, three thermochemical cycles were used as shown in Tables 2–4. The reaction molar enthalpies are obtained at 298.2 K from

$$\begin{split} \{ &K_2 SO_{4(s)} + 2 M SO_{4(s)} \} + 3 \text{ M HNO}_3 = \{ \text{solution A} \} \\ &\frac{\{ \text{solution B} \} = K_2 M_2 (SO_4)_{3(s)} + 3 \text{ M HNO}_3 }{\{ K_2 SO_{4(s)} + 2 M SO_{4(s)} \} = K_2 M_2 (SO_4)_{3(s)} } \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta_r H^{\theta}_m = \Delta_{diss} H^{\theta}_m [K_2 SO_4 + 2 M SO_4] - \Delta_{diss} H^{\theta}_m [K_2 M_2 \\ (SO_4)_{3(s)}], \mbox{ where } M = Cd^{2+}, Zn^{2+} \mbox{ or } Mg^{2+}. \mbox{ The values obtained are } 5.27 \pm 0.17 \mbox{ kJ mol}^{-1} \mbox{ for } K_2 Cd_2 \\ (SO_4)_{3(s)} \mbox{ (Table 2)}, -7.52 \pm 0.23 \mbox{ kJ mol}^{-1} \mbox{ for } K_2 Zn_2 \\ (SO_4)_{3(s)} \mbox{ (Table 3) and } 37.04 \pm 0.25 \mbox{ kJ mol}^{-1} \mbox{ for } K_2 Mg_2 (SO_4)_{3(s)} \mbox{ (Table 4)}. \end{array}$

3.3. Determination of the standard molar formation enthalpies of $K_2M_2(SO_4)_3$

The standard molar formation enthalpies of K_2M_2 $\left(SO_4\right)_3$ $(M=Cd^{2+},Zn^{2+},Mg^{2+})$ are calculated from

$$\begin{split} &\Delta_{f}H^{\theta}_{m}[K_{2}M_{2}(SO_{4})_{3(s)}] \\ &= \Delta_{r}H^{\theta}_{m} + \Delta_{f}H^{\theta}_{m}[K_{2}SO_{4(s)}] + 2\Delta_{f}H^{\theta}_{m}[M(SO_{4})_{3(s)}] \end{split}$$

Table 1

Dissolution enthalpies of $[2MSO_{4(s)} + K_2SO_{4(s)}]$ and $K_2M_2(SO_4)_3$ in aqueous 3 M HNO₃ at 298.2 K ($R = 996.0 \Omega$, I = 19.627 mA)

	No.	<i>m</i> (g)	$\Delta E_{\rm s}/\Delta E_{\rm e}{}^{\rm a}$	$\Delta_{ m diss} H^{ heta}_{ m m} ({ m kJ}{ m mol}^{-1})^{ m b}$
$[2CdSO_{4(s)} + K_2SO_{4(s)}]$	1	0.3562	0.610	11.06
	2	0.3566	0.640	10.62
	3	0.3564	0.556	10.72
	4	0.3565	0.590	10.27
	5	0.3565	0.490	10.54
$\Delta_1 H_m^{\theta} [2 \text{CdSO}_{4(s)} + \text{K}_2 \text{SO}_{4(s)}]$	$= 10.64 \pm 0.13$ kJ mo	1^{-1}		
$K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$	1	0.3558	0.386	15.78
	2	0.3578	0.716	15.84
	3	0.3604	0.671	15.90
	4	0.3625	0.881	16.04
	5	0.3624	0.453	15.94
$\Delta_2 H_m^{\theta} [K_2 Cd_2 (SO_4)_{3s}] = 15.9$	$01\pm0.04\mathrm{kJmol^{-1}}$			
$[2ZnSO_{4(s)} + K_2SO_{4(s)}]$	1	0.3610	0.865	59.74
	2	0.3590	0.860	59.88
	3	0.3587	0.796	59.70
	4	0.3590	0.781	60.02
	5	0.3589	0.850	60.47
$\Delta_1 H_m^{\theta} [2 Zn SO_{4(s)} + K_2 SO_{4(s)}]$	$=59.96\pm0.14$ kJ mo	1-1		
$K_2Zn_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$	1	0.3470	0.930	67.22
	2	0.3450	0.986	68.12
	3	0.3460	0.655	67.29
	4	0.3461	0.986	67.39
	5	0.3450	0.970	67.40
$\Delta_2 H_m^{\theta} [K_2 Z n_2 (SO_4)_{3.8}] = 67.4$	$8 \pm 0.09 \text{kJ} \text{mol}^{-1}$			
$[2MgSO_{4(s)} + K_2SO_{4(s)}]$	1	0.3610	0.962	73.07
	2	0.3610	0.999	73.85
	3	0.3610	0.962	73.27
	4	0.3610	0.964	73.36
	5	0.3610	0.962	73.21
$\Delta_1 H_m^{\theta} [2MgSO_{4(s)} + K_2SO_{4(s)}]$	$] = 73.35 \pm 0.13$ kJ mc	$0l^{-1}$		
$K_2Mg_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$	1	0.3500	0.750	36.11
	2	0.3500	0.790	36.58
	3	0.3500	0.785	36.59
	4	0.3500	0.791	36.25
	5	0.3500	0.765	36.04
$\Delta_2 H_m^{\theta}[k_2 Z n_2 (SO_4)_{3.8}] = 36.3$	$1\pm0.12\mathrm{kJmol^{-1}}$			

^a $\Delta E_{\rm s}({\rm mV})$ and $\Delta E_{\rm e}({\rm mV})$ are the voltage change during the sample dissolution and the electrical calibration, respectively. ^b $\Delta_{\rm s} H_{\rm m}^{\theta} = (\Delta E_{\rm s} / \Delta E_{\rm e}) l^2 Rte({\rm M/m}).$

Table 2	
Reaction scheme for the determination of the standard molar formation enthalpies of $K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$ at 298.2 K ($\Delta_5H_{m}^{\theta} = \Delta_1H_{m}^{\theta} - \Delta_2H_{m}^{\theta}$ -	⊦
$2\Delta_3 H_{ m m}^{ heta} + \Delta_4 H_{ m m}^{ heta})$	

i	Reaction	Solution	$\Delta_{\rm diss} H^{\theta}_{\rm m} - \Delta_{\rm f} H^{\theta}_{\rm m} (\rm kJmol^{-1})$
1	$\{2CdSO_{4(s)} + K_2SO_{4(s)}\} + 3 M HNO_3$	A ₁	10.64 ± 0.13
2	$K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_{3(s)} + 3 M HNO_3$	B_1	15.91 ± 0.04
3	$Cd_{(s)} + S_{(s)} + 2O_{2(g)} = CdSO_{4(s)}$		-933.28
4	$2K_{(s)} + S_{(s)} + 2O_{2(g)} = K_2SO_{4(s)}$		-1433.69
5	$2K_{(s)} + 2Cd_{(s)} + 3S_{(s)} + 6O_{2(g)} = K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$		-3305.52 ± 0.17

Reaction scheme for the determination of the standard molar formation enthalpies of $K_2 Zn_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$ at 298.2 K ($\Delta_5 H_m^{\theta} = \Delta_1 H_m^{\theta} - \Delta_2 H_m^{\theta} + 2\Delta_3 H_m^{\theta} + \Delta_4 H_m^{\theta}$)

i	Reaction	Solution	$\Delta_{\rm diss} H^{\theta}_{\rm m} - \Delta_{\rm f} H^{\theta}_{\rm m} (\rm kJmol^{-1})$
1	$\{2ZnSO_{4(s)} + K_2SO_{4(s)}\} + 3 M HNO_3$	A ₂	59.96 ± 0.14
2	$K_2 Zn_2 (SO_4)_{3(s)} + 3 M HNO_3$	B_2	67.48 ± 0.09
3	$Zn_{(s)} + S_{(s)} + 2O_{2(g)} = ZnSO_{4(s)}$		-982.82
4	$2K_{(s)} + S_{(s)} + 2O_{2(g)} = K_2 SO_{4(s)}$		-1433.69
5	$2K_{(s)} + 2Zn_{(s)} + 3S_{(s)} + 6O_{2(g)} = K_2Zn_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$		-3406.85 ± 0.23

Table 4

Reaction scheme for the determination of the standard molar formation enthalpies of $K_2Mg_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$ at 298.2 K ($\Delta_5H_m^\theta = \Delta_1H_m^\theta - \Delta_2H_m^\theta + 2\Delta_3H_m^\theta + \Delta_4H_m^\theta$)

i	Reaction	Solution	$\Delta_{\rm diss} H^{\theta}_{\rm m} - \Delta_{\rm f} H^{\theta}_{\rm m}(\rm kJmol^{-1})$
1	$\{2MgSO_{4(s)} + K_2SO_{4(s)}\} + 3 M HNO_3$	A ₃	73.35 ± 0.13
2	$K_2Mg_2(SO_4)_{3(s)} + 3 MHNO_3$	B ₃	36.31 ± 0.12
3	$Mg_{(s)} + S_{(s)} + 2O_{2(g)} = MgSO_{4(s)}$		-1278.21
4	$2K_{(s)} + S_{(s)} + 2O_{2(g)} = K_2 SO_{4(s)}$		-1433.69
5	$2K_{(s)} + 2Mg_{(s)} + 3S_{(s)} + 6O_{2(g)} = K_2Mg_2(SO_4)_{3(s)}$		-3953.07 ± 0.25

Thus, we get (Tables 2-4)

$$\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\theta}_{\rm m} [{\rm K}_2 {\rm M}_2 ({\rm SO}_4)_{3({\rm s})}] = \Delta_{\rm r} H^{\theta}_{\rm m} + 2\Delta_3 H^{\theta}_{\rm m} + \Delta_4 H^{\theta}_{\rm m}$$

by using auxiliary data $(\Delta_f H_m^{\theta})$ from Ref. [14]: -1433.69 kJ mol⁻¹ for K₂SO_{4(s)}, -933.28 kJ mol⁻¹ for CdSO_{4(s)}, -982.82 kJ mol⁻¹ for ZnSO_{4(s)} and -1278.21 kJ mol⁻¹ for MgSO_{4(s)}.

The calculated enthalpies of formation at 298.2 K are:

$$\begin{split} &\Delta_{f}H^{\theta}_{m}[K_{2}Cd_{2}(SO_{4})_{3(s)}] = -3305.52 \pm 0.17 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \\ &\Delta_{f}H^{\theta}_{m}[K_{2}Zn_{2}(SO_{4})_{3(s)}] = -3406.85 \pm 0.23 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \\ &\Delta_{f}H^{\theta}_{m}[K_{2}Mg_{2}(SO_{4})_{3(s)}] = -3953.07 \pm 0.25 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \end{split}$$

In the present study, we verified the thermodynamic identity of A and B solutions by using the same thermochemical cycle and the same calorimetric solvent to measure the enthalpies of dissolution of each separate salt. The error of measurements was estimated according to the equation $\sigma_x = \sqrt{\sum (x_i - x)^2 / (n(n-1))}$, where $n \ge 5, x$ is the mean value of the molar dissolution enthalpies and σ_x the mean-square error.

References

- B. Brezing, M. Glogarova, Phys. Stat. Sol. A 11 (1972) K39.
- [2] M. Glogarova, J. Fousek, Phys. Stat. Sol. A 15 (1973) 579.
- [3] T. Ikeda, G. Yasuda, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 14 (1975) 1287.
- [4] T. Hikita, M. Kitabatake, T. Ikeda, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 49 (1980) 1421.
- [5] T. Mitsui, E. Nakamura (Eds.), Landolt-Bornstein III/28b, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [6] T. Hikita, S. Sato, H. Sekiguchi, T. Ikeda, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 42 (1977) 1656.
- [7] Von G. Gattow, J. Zemann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 293 (1958) 233.
- [8] F. Jona, R. Pepinsky, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 1126.
- [9] B.P. Chandra, J.I. Zink, Inorg. Chem. 19 (10) (1980) 3098.

Table 3

- [10] C.X. Wang, Zh.H. Song, W.G. Xiong, S.S. Qu, Acta Physico-Chim. Sin. 7 (5) (1991) 586.
- [11] T.Z. Wang, Y. Yu, Y. Liu, P.J. Zhou, S.S. Qu, Thermochim. Acta 333 (1) (1999) 95–100.
- [12] R.L. Montgomery, R.A. Melaugh, C.C. Lau, G.H. Meier,

H.H. Chang, F.D. Rossini, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 9 (1977) 915.

- [13] P. Pychly, V. Pekarek, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 9 (1977) 39.
- [14] J.A. Dean, Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, 12th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979.